

Will Barkley note: See website links I have copied pasted at the end of this article from Mueller links.

<https://mises.org/wire/lockdowns-great-reset>

From Lockdowns to "The Great Reset"



08/01/2020 [Antony P. Mueller](#)

Listen to [the Audio Mises Wire version](#) of this article.

The lockdown in the wake of the coronavirus pandemic has [accelerated](#) the implementation of [long-held](#) plans to establish a so-called new world order. Under the auspices of the World Economic Forum ([WEF](#)), global policymakers are advocating for a "[Great Reset](#)" with the intent of creating a global technocracy. It is not by coincidence that on October 18, 2019, in New York City the [WEF](#) participated in "[Event 201](#)" at the "high-level" pandemic exercise organized by the [John Hopkins Center for Health Security](#).

This coming technocracy involves close cooperation between the heads of the digital industry and of governments. With programs such as guaranteed minimum income and healthcare for all, the new kind of governance combines strict societal control with the promise of comprehensive social justice.

The truth, however, is that this new world order of digital tyranny comes with a comprehensive [social credit system](#). The People's Republic of [China](#) is the pioneer of this method of surveillance and control of individuals, corporations, and sociopolitical entities.

For the individual, one's identity is reduced to an app or chip that registers almost any personal activity. In order to gain a few individual rights, and be it only to travel to a certain place, a person must balance such apparent privileges with his submission to a web of regulations that define in detail what is "[good behavior](#)" and deemed as beneficial to humankind and the environment. For example, during a pandemic, this sort of control would extend from the obligation of wearing a mask and practicing social distancing to having specific vaccinations in order to apply for a job or to travel.

It is, in short, a type of [social engineering](#) which is the opposite of a spontaneous order or of development. Like the mechanical engineer with a machine, the social engineer—or technocrat—treats society as an object. Different from the brutal suppressions by the totalitarianism of earlier times, the modern social engineer will try to make the social machine work on its own according to the design. For this purpose, the social engineer must apply the laws of society the way the mechanical engineer follows the laws of nature. Behavioral theory has reached a stage of knowledge that makes the dreams of social engineering possible. The machinations of social engineering operate not through brute force, but subtly by [nudge](#).

Under the order envisioned by the Great Reset, the advancement of technology is not meant to serve the improvement of the conditions of the people but to submit the individual to the tyranny of a technocratic state. "The experts know better" is the justification.

The Agenda

The plan for an overhaul of the world is the brainchild of an elite group of businessmen, politicians, and their intellectual entourage that used to meet in Davos, Switzerland, in January each year. Brought into existence in [1971](#), the World Economic Forum has become a megaglobal event since then. More than three thousand leaders from all over the world attended the meeting in [2020](#).

Under the guidance of the [WEF](#), the [agenda](#) of the Great Reset says that the completion of the [current industrial transformation](#) requires a thorough overhaul of the economy, politics, and society. Such a comprehensive transformation requires the alteration of human behavior, and thus "[transhumanism](#)" is part of the program.

[The Great Reset](#) will be the theme of the fifty-first meeting of the World Economic Forum in Davos in [2021](#). Its agenda is the commitment to move the world economy toward "a more fair, sustainable and resilient future." The program calls for "a new social contract" that is centered on racial equality, social justice, and the protection of nature. Climate change requires us "to decarbonize the economy" and to bring human thinking and behavior "into harmony with nature." The aim is to build "more equal, inclusive and sustainable economies." This new world order must be "urgently" implemented, the promoters of the WEF claim, and they point out that the pandemic "has laid bare the unsustainability of our system," which lacks "social cohesion."

The WEF's great reset project is social engineering at the highest level. Advocates of the reset contend that the UN [failed](#) to establish order in the world and could not advance forcefully its agenda of sustainable development—known as [Agenda 2030](#)—because of its bureaucratic, slow, and contradictory way of working. In contrast, the actions of the organizational committee of the World Economic Forum are swift and smart. When a consensus has been formed, it can [be implemented by the global elite all over the world](#).

Social Engineering

The ideology of the World Economic Forum is neither left nor right, nor progressive or conservative, it is also not fascist or communist, but outright [technocratic](#). As such, it includes many elements of earlier collectivist ideologies.

In recent decades, the consensus has emerged at the annual Davos meetings that the world needs a revolution, and that reforms have taken too long. The members of the WEF envision a profound upheaval at short notice. The time span should be so brief that most people will hardly realize that a revolution is going on. The change must be so swift and dramatic that those who recognize that a revolution is happening do not have the time to mobilize against it.

The basic idea of the Great Reset is the same principle that guided the radical transformations from the French to the Russian and Chinese Revolutions. It is the idea of [constructivist rationalism](#) incorporated in the state. But projects like the Great Reset leave unanswered the question of who rules the state. The state itself does not rule. It is an instrument of power. It is not the abstract state that decides, but the leaders of specific political parties and of certain social groups.

Earlier totalitarian regimes needed mass executions and concentration camps to maintain their power. Now, with the help of new technologies, it is believed, dissenters can easily be identified and marginalized. The nonconformists will be silenced by disqualifying divergent opinions as morally despicable.

The 2020 lockdowns possibly offer a preview of how this system works. The lockdown worked as if it had been orchestrated—and perhaps it was. As if following a single [command](#), the leaders of big and small nations—and of different stages of economic development—implemented almost identical measures. Not only did many governments act in unison, they also applied these measures with little regard for the horrific [consequences](#) of a global lockdown.

Months of economic stillstand have destroyed the economic basis of millions of families. Together with social distancing, the lockdown has produced a mass of people unable to care for themselves. First, governments destroyed the livelihood, then the politicians showed up as the savior. The demand for social assistance is no longer limited to specific groups but has become a need of the masses.

Once, war was the [health of the state](#). Now it is fear of disease. What lies ahead is not the apparent coziness of a benevolent comprehensive welfare state with a guaranteed minimum income and healthcare and education for all. The lockdown and its consequences have brought a foretaste of what is to come: a permanent state of fear, strict behavioral control, massive loss of jobs, and growing dependence on the state.

With the measures taken in the wake of the coronavirus pandemic, a big step to reset the global economy has been made. Without popular resistance, the end of the pandemic will not mean the end of the lockdown and social distancing. At the moment, however, the opponents of the new world order of digital tyranny still have access to the media and platforms to dissent. Yet the time is running out. The perpetrators of the new world order have smelled blood. Declaring the coronavirus a pandemic has come in handy to promote the agenda of their Great Reset. Only massive opposition can slow down and finally stop the extension of the power grip of the tyrannical technocracy that is on the rise.

Author:

[Antony P. Mueller](#)

Dr. Antony P. Mueller is a German professor of economics who currently teaches in Brazil. Write an [e-mail](#). See his [website](#) and [blog](#).

Website links below (from links above) added by Will Barkley, 8-30-2020.

<https://www.weforum.org/>

<https://www.weforum.org/great-reset/>

<https://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/>

<https://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/>

<https://www.visualcapitalist.com/the-game-of-life-visualizing-chinas-social-credit-system/>

<https://rare.org/program/center-for-behavior-the-environment/>

<https://mises.org/library/engineers-and-planners>

https://www.amazon.com.br/dp/B00A5DCALY/ref=dp-kindle-redirect?_encoding=UTF8&btkr=1

<https://whatistranshumanism.org/>

<https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/development-agenda/>

<https://qz.com/1786281/a-summary-the-2020-world-economic-forum-in-davos/>

https://www.amazon.com/Shaping-Future-Fourth-Industrial-Revolution/dp/1984822616/ref=sr_1_2?dchild=1&keywords=fourth+industrial+revolution&qid=1595293393&s=books&sr=1-2

Will B. note on Technocracy, see Dr. Joseph Mercola 1 hour, 37 minute interviewing Patrick Wood
<https://theduran.com/mercola-technocracy-is-the-masterplan-for-the-great-reset/>

<https://www.nobelprize.org/uploads/2018/06/smith-lecture-2.pdf>

https://www.lewrockwell.com/2020/04/no_author/fooled/

<https://mises.org/wire/diseases-are-bad-government-forced-shutdowns-are-often-worse>

<https://www.panarchy.org/bourne/state.1918.html>